# STATE OF OREGON DEPARTMENT OF GEOLOGY AND MINERAL INDUSTRIES 910 State Office Building Portland, Oregon 97201

P

OPEN-FILE REPORT O-86-11

# ANALYSIS OF BENTHIC EPIFAUNAL AND INFAUNAL COMMUNITY STRUCTURE AT THE GORDA RIDGE

.

-

# By Andrew G. Carey, Jr., David L. Stein, and Gary L. Taghon, College of Oceanography, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon 97331

# Final Report for Contract No. 63-630-8502

Submitted to:

Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries

# and the

Gorda Ridge Technical Task Force

Released July 1986

#### NOTICE

1

.

₽

This report is based on results of a research program directed by the joint federal-state Gorda Ridge Technical Task Force, managed by the Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries, and funded by the Minerals Management Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, through Cooperative Agreement. Opinions expressed are those of the authors and do not constitute endorsement by the sponsoring agencies or the Task Force.

The Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries is publishing this paper because the subject matter is consistent with the mission of the Department. To facilitate timely distribution of information, camera-ready copy submitted by the authors has not been edited by the staff of the Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

F

1

ŝ

States and states

1

i

1

List	of Figures	i
List	of Tables	ii
Abst	ract	1
1.	Introduction A. Scientific Background B. Objectives	1 1 2
11.	<ul> <li>Material and Methods.</li> <li>A. Photography and video recording.</li> <li>1. Photographs from the 1984 <u>Alvin</u> dives.</li> <li>2. Photographic and video analysis.</li> <li>B. Data summary and analysis.</li> </ul>	2 4 2 4 13
111.	<pre>Results. A. Invertebrate epifauna. 1. Down-axis, 1984 NOAA DSRV <u>ALVIN</u> dives a. Abundance. b. Feeding types. c. Distribution of mega-epifauna. 2. Cross-axis, 1985 Geological Survey towed vehicle. B. Fish fauna.</pre>	15 15 15 19 19 19 29
IV.	Discussion	32
۷.	Future research needs	33
VI.	Summary and conclusions	33
VII.	Cited references	34

.

# LIST OF FIGURES

•

la.Location chart of Gor <b>da</b> Ridge camera stations- DSRV <u>Alvin</u> 1984 dives		3
lb.Location chart illustrating 1984 and 1985 camera's	stations	5
2. Percent taxonomic com <b>position</b> of benthic epifauna 1984 <u>ALVIN</u> photographs		16
3. Percent taxonomic composition - soft and hard/mixe	ed substrates	17
4. Scatterplot of log of mean abundance versus log (variance/mean) for soft substrate		21
5. Scatterplot of log of mean abundance versus log (variance/mean) for mixed substrate	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	22
6. Scatterplot of log of mean abundance versus log (variance/mean) for hard substrate		23
7. Dispersion patterns for the megafauna with 0's excluded: soft substrate	•••••	24
8 Dispersion patterns for the megafauna with 0's excluded: mixed substrate	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	25
9. Disperson patterns for the megafauna with 0's excluded: hard substrate	•••••	26
0. Mega-epifauna abundan <b>ce:</b> 1985 USGS off-axis photo graphs - estimated mean per 100 frames		27

# LIST OF TABLES

1.	Station information of R/V S.P. LEE cruises	6
2.	Collaborating taxonomists	7-9
3.	Benthic epifauna coll <b>ect</b> ed by rock dredge	14
4.	Summary - Gorda Ridge <b>benthic epifauna</b>	10-12
5.	Abundance of visible <b>epi</b> fauna on Gorda Ridge- 1984 <u>ALVIN</u> photograp <b>hs</b>	18
6.	Dispersion patterns of taxa - 0-'s included	20
7.	Dispersion patterns of taxa - 0's excluded	20

\_

8.	Changes in dispersion patterns: taxa analyzed with 0's excluded	29
9.	Relative abundance of visible epifauna on Gorda Ridge - 1985 USGS off-axis photographs	30
10.	Fish fauna: numbers of each taxon occurring at 1985 USGS video station	31
11.	Comparisons of fish taxa percentages	31

\_

-

## ABSTRACT

The search for active hydrothermal vents on Gorda Ridge in the Northeast Pacific Ocean has resulted in a large series of photographs and videotapes of the undisturbed megafauna which demonstrate that they are abundant and diverse. Megafaunal invertebrates are patchy, but widespread in distribution, with maximal standing stocks concentrated in the north-south axial valley, along which taxonomic composition tends to change. Filter/suspension feeders predominate, possibly because the valley acts as a collector for marine and terrestrial particulate organic material which supports the large epifaunal standing stocks. Alternatively, there may be active hydrothermal vents in the valley with high microbial production providing an organic-rich particulate food source for nearby suspension feeders.

### INTRODUCTION

## A. Scientific Background

Very little is known about benthic communities on Gorda Ridge. Existence of hydrothermal vents and associated communities has not yet been demonstrated. Taxonomic composition, abundance, and distribution of undisturbed nonvent fauna are unknown. Until recently these rocky environments were studiously avoided by benthic ecologists because of the difficulty in sampling them. Therefore, quantitative data on the basic ecology and biology of such an undisturbed rocky epifauna are lacking for Gorda Ridge and elsewhere on the oceanic ridge systems. The study of Gorda Ridge provides a valuable opportunity to determine the taxonomic composition, abundance, zonation, geographic distribution, and effects of various aspects of ridge environment on epifaunal community structure.

Disturbances by polymetallic sulphide mining in or near active sea floor spreading centers would severely affect adjacent areas by increasing suspended sediment. In particular, if active hydrothermal vent sites exist along the northern ridge, the unique animal communities associated with them, as well as the non-vent faunas, would be vulnerable to the disturbances caused by mining. There is such a basic lack of baseline data on the Gorda Ridge and its associated fauna that fisheries and environmentalist groups levelled severe criticisms at the 1983 environmental impact statement (McMurray, 1985).

When coupled with information on natural history and environmental properties, photographic surveys can provide useful data on the population structure of deep-sea megafauna (e.g., Grassle et al., 1975; Jumars and Eckman, 1983; Smith and Hamilton, 1983). Statistical techniques for analyzing spatial pattern of organisms have been employed to provide valuable insights into how deep-sea organisms interact with each other and with their physical environment (see review by Jumars and Eckman, 1983). Unfortunately, the photographs available from the DSRV <u>Alvin</u> dives on the Gorda Ridge do not meet the requirements to enable use of the more powerful spatial statistical analyses. In particular, reliable species identification, size scales, and the spatial relationships among photographs are lacking. However, these photographs can be analyzed to provide information on the distribution patterns of the major taxonomic groups on scales of tens of meters to kilometers.

Our research approach has been to develope and evaluate quantitative and statistical techniques with the data available. Though the necessary altitude, depth, and navigation data are presently lacking for critical analyses, we have been able to gain insights into the distributional ecology of the megafauna. Specifically for small-scale distributions, we employed simple variance-to-mean ratios (Pielou, 1977) to assess whether the taxa were distributed randomly, in an aggregated fashion ("patchy'), or regularly ("evenly").

B. Objectives.

(1) To determine the taxonomic composition, distribution and abundance of benthic infauna, large epifaunal invertebrates and fishes along the Gorda Ridge, with special emphasis on any hydrothermal vent communities discovered.

(2) To obtain distributional and abundance data for organisms secondarily dependent on vent-derived organic matter (e.g., predators on vent animals, such as the spider crabs observed at the Juan de Fuca Ridge).

(3) To gather basic information about the animals living in the undisturbed ridge environment in order to provide data for comparative studies of vent communities and impact studies of potential commercial mining operations.

These general objectives listed in our 1985 proposal cover background benthic ecological research, as well as that based on hydrothermal vent communities; they remain to be completed. Active vents and associated animal communities have not yet been found. Research on infauna proved to be unfeasible, as ship time has not been available to obtain the necessary numbers of large box core samples for faunal analysis. However, owing to the large-scale search on Gorda Ridge for active hydrothermal vents and massive polymetallic sulphide deposits, many bottom photographs and videotapes have been taken of the undisturbed nonvent fauna by NOAA and the USGS.

This report will emphasize Objective number (3) and summarize our data obtained on the Gorda Ridge nonvent fauna.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Photography and video recording.

## 1. Photographs from the 1984 ALVIN dives.

A series of photographs was taken on each of 4 NOAA reconnaissance dives from the southern to northern Gorda Ridge rift valley (Figure 1a) by DSRV <u>ALVIN</u> during late summer, 1984. Photographs were made with a Benthos survey camera system using Ektachrome film (200 ASA). Standard distances, altitude from the subjects, substrate type, constant speed and areal coverage were poorly controlled and inconsistent. Film copies were lent by NOAA to the OSU Principal Investigators for biological analysis.

Bottom photographs were taken in 1985 by the U.S. Geological Survey vessel R/VS.P. LEE with an instrumented towed camera vehicle (Chezar and Lee, 1985). The still camera photographic system was designed by the Lamont-Doherty Geological Observatory (Farre et al., 1983). It consists of a 35 mm camera and 5 strobe flash units and has



Figure la. DSRV  $\underline{\text{ALVIN}}$  diving stations, 1984 in the Gorda Ridge axial valley.

a maximum capacity of 400 ft. of film. Date and time are superimposed on each frame of the film in synchronization with the videotape. Water temperature and altitude above the sea floor data are acoustically telemetered to the ship via a 12 kHz pinger and recorded aboard ship with a precision graphic recorder. The altitude data (presently unavailable) will allow computation of the area of each photographic frame to be used for comparisons between diverse environments.

The first leg of the LEE cruise (L5-85-NC) took photographs and collected dredge samples at station GR-14, near an apparent hydrothermal plume detected earlier (Figures 1a and 1b; Table 1). Six off-axis stations were occupied on the east wall of the axial valley to survey for the active vents. The second leg, in the Narrowgate region of the axial valley, occupied 3 photo sled stations. The LEE cruise occupied 2 camera stations in the Escanaba Trough area near an intrusion on the southern end of the Gorda Ridge.

# 2. Photographic and video analysis.

For examination, photographs are back-projected onto the fine-grained opaque glass screen of a Variscan aerial photogrammetric analysis device (Mark II, Westwood Division, Houston Fearless Corp.) that allows automated projection at 4 magnifications (3x, 6x, 12.4, and 31.2x). Photographs utilized had adequate lighting and covered different fields of view.

Fauna observed in the 1984 NOAA photographs taken from the DSRV <u>Alvin</u> were identified to major taxon and were counted per frame. Several distinctive organisms were identified tentatively to species. Subsequently, taxonomic specialists provided further identifications to tentative species (Tables 2 and 4).

In preliminary analysis of USGS photographs, altitude of each usable frame was estimated from indicator organisms and bioturbative traces. Average size of organisms, e.g. asteroid, ophiuroid, echinoid, and holothuroid species, collected from Cascadia Abyssal Plain at similar depths for previous research projects provided an estimate of scale and altitude for each photograph. With the aid of a grid, numbers of individuals/grid cell of each major taxon were counted and substrate and evidence of bioturbation were noted. Number, means and ranges per taxon were calculated for each substrate type. Because turbidity often obscured portions of the photographs, the number of usable grid cells in each frame was noted. Finally, relative comparisons of community taxonomic structure between locations and substrate types were made.

Eventually, altitude, depth, and navigational data will be available from the USGS for computation of quantitative estimates of faunal abundance per unit area (D. Clague, personal communication). Better comparisons within and between stations can be made at that time.

After the above analyses, slides of taxa photographed were sent to 13 systematic specialists for further identification and comments (Table 2). Identifications were used to construct a preliminary photographic key to Gorda Ridge mega-epifauna. Additional taxa observed in photographs will be sent to appropriate specialists for identification. The photographic key will be expanded by pictures and data from the literature. A simplified key will be constructed for use by divers. Data and photos will remain deposited in the Benthic Ecology Laboratory, College of Oceanography, Oregon State University.



Figure 1b. Location chart illustrating the photographic stations for 1984 and 1985. Area A includes <u>ALVIN</u> dive #1407 (1985) and USGS R/V S.P. LEE stations CS #01C, CS #05, CS #09C, CS #12C, CS #15C, and CS #16C (1985); Area B = dive #1406 (1984), Area C = dive #1405 (1984), Area D = dive #1406 (1984), and Area E = LEE stations 21C and 33C (1985).

<u>Ship</u> Statio	<u>Camera</u> n <u>Statio</u>	Photograph n	<u>er 1</u>	Position Latitude	Lor	ngitude	Depth(m) (Est.)	Substrate	<u>Photo</u>	<u>TV</u>
Northern	<u>Gorda</u>	off-axis								
01C	cs 🚺	Koski	42	44.06'	126	42.72'	2,600	sediment	x	X
05C	CS #2	Koski	42	44.43'	126	42.26'	2,600	sediment	x	x
09C	CS #3	Koski	42	47.27'	126	42.16'	3,000	mixed	x	x
12C	CS #4	Koski	42	45.14'	125	44.23'	3,050	mixed	х	X
15C	CS #5	Koski	42	43.75'	126	40.74'	2,550	sediment	х	X
16C	CS #6	Koski	42	43.12'	126	41.45'	2,400	mixed	X	Σ.
Northern	<u>Gorda</u>	on-axis								
37C	CS #1	Clague	42	45.50'	126	44.63'	3,100		x	Σ
41C	CS #2	Clague	42	45.16'	126	44.75'	3,050		x	x
46C	CS #3	Clague	42	44.25'	126	45.25'	3,200		x	-
Escanaba	Trough			****						
210	CS #1	Morton	41	00.70'	127	28.64'	3,200		X	-
33C	CS #2	Morton	40	57.49'	127	28.42'	3,200		x	X

Table 1 . Station Information for R/V SP LEE cruises L5-85-NC and L6-85-NC, undertaken by the U.S. Geological Survey, August-September 1985.

-

\_

Table 2. COLLABORATING SYSTEMETICISTS: Epifauna. Analysis of Benthic Epifaunal and Infaunal Community Structure at the Gorda Ridge: bottom photograph analysis, Phase I.

# (1) Porifera: Hexactinellida

Dr. Henry Reiswig

(514) 392-5987

Institute of Oceanography McGill University 3620 University Street Montreal, Quebec H3A 2B2, CANADA

Dr. W. Austin 4635 Alderglen Cowichan Bay British Columbia VOR 1NO, CANADA

(2) Cnidaria: Anthozoa: Gorgoniacea

Dr. Frederick Bayer National Museum of Natural History Smithsonian Institution Washington, D.C. 20560 (202) 357-2486

(3) Cnidaria: Anthozoa: Pennatulacea

Dr. Frederick Bayer National Museum of Natural History Smithsonian Institution Washington, D.C. 20560

(4) Cnidaria: Anthozoa: Actiniaria

Dr. Daphne D. Fautin Department of Invertebrate Zoology California Academy of Sciences San Francisco, CA

(5) Mollusca: Cephalopoda

Dr. William G. Pearcy College of Oceanography Oregon State University Corvallis, OR 97331 Table 2. Cont'd

(6) Arthropoda: Decapoda

Dr. Austin B. Williams NOAA/NMFS Systematics Laboratory U.S. National Museum of Natural History Smithsonian Institution Washington, D.C. 20560

## (7) Echinodermata: Asteroidea

Ms. Maureen Downey (202) 357-2553 Department of Invertebrate Zoology National Museum of Natural History Smithsonian Institution Washington, D.C. 20560

## (8) Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea

Dr. David E. Pawson (202) 357-2553 Department of Invertebrate Zoology National Museum of Natural History Smithsonian Institution Washington, D.C. 20560

Mr. Michael A. Kyte (206) 775-0137 Ardea Enterprises 11025 44th Street, S.E. Snohomish, WA 98290

# (9) Echinodermata: Crinoidea

Dr. David. E. Pawson Department of Invertebrate Zoology National Museum of Natural History Smithsonian Institution Washington, D.C. 20560

Dr. David L. Meyer Department of Geology University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, OH 45221-0013

Dr. Michel Roux Departement des Sciences de la Terre Universite Claude-Bernard 27-43 Bd. du 11 Novembre 69622 Villeurbanne Cedx Lyon 1, FRANCE Table 2. Cont'd.

-

(10) Echinodermata: Holothuroidea

Dr. David E. Pawson Department of Invertebrate Zoology National Museum of Natural History Smithsonian Institution Washington, D.C. 20560

(11) Urochordata: Ascidacea

Dr. Claude Monniot Laboratoire de Biologie des Invertebre marins et Malacologie Museum national d HIstoire naturelle Paris, FRANCE

(12) Chordata: Pisces

Dr. David L. Stein College of Oceanography Oregon State University Corvallis, OR 97331 (503) 754-2648

Table 4. SUMMARY - GORDA RIDGE BENTHIC EPIFAUNA

## Taxonomic Composition

~

```
PORIFERA
     Hexactinellida
        Amphidiscophora
          Amphidiscosida
              Hyalonematidae
                     Hyalonema sp
                     Hyalonema cf. apertum Schultz 1887
        Lyssascinosa
              Euplectidae
                     Leroyella sp?
              Rossellidae
                     Aulochone lilium Schulze 1887 ?
                     ?Staurocalyptus fasciculatus Sculze 1899
             Demospongiae
        Poecilosclerida
              Cladorhizidae
        Hadromerida
              Suberitidae
                     Suberites sp?
CNIDARIA
     Anthozoa
       Alcyonaria
         Pennatulacea
              Virgularidae
         Gorgonacea
                       Keratoisis sp or Lepidsis sp
              Primnoidae
                      Calyptrophora sp?
```

```
Calyptrophora ? sp
Isididae?
Zooantharia
Zoanthidea
Epizoanthus/Isozoanthus sp
```

```
Antipatharia
<u>Antipathes</u> sp
<u>Bathypathes</u> sp
Actiniaria
Ceriantharia
Actinoscyphia ? sp
```

```
MOLLUSCA
Cephalopoda
```

```
Octopoda
```

```
Octopus sp ?
```

Cirromorpha

```
Table 4. (Cont'd)
ANNELIDA
    Polychaeta
             Sabellidae
                   Fabrisabella sp
ARTHROPODA
    Malacostraca
       Decapoda
             Galatheidae
                    Munidopsis sp
ECHINODERMATA
    Asteroidea
      Platyasterida
                    Luidia sp ?
       Spinulosida
                    Echinaster sp ?
      Euclasterida
         Brisingidae
                    Freyella sp
     Ophiuroidea
                    Ophiocten hastatum ?
        Ophiurida
             Ophiuridae
                    Ophiomusium multispinum ?
     Crinoidea
        Articulata
          Comatulida
         Millericrinida
                    Rhizocrinus sp
              Bathycrinidae
                    Bathycrinus sp A
                    Bathycrinus sp B
           Cyrtocrinida
           Hyocrinida
              Hyocrinidae
                    Ptilocrinus pinnatus Clark 1907?
     Holothuroidea
                    Paelopatides sp
                    Abyssocucumis abyssorum
                    Synallactes sp ?
     Echinoidea
           Holasteroida
              Urechinidae
                    Urechinus loveni ?
```

P

-

Table 4. Cont'd

CHORDATA

-

Urochordata Ascidicea Molgulidae?

<u>Culeolus</u> <u>sluiteri</u> Ritter, 1913

Vertebrata

Pisces

Macrouridae

Coryphaenoides armatus

Spectrunculus grandis

---

In addition, animals and fragments of animals retrieved from the axial valley with a rock dredge during 1985 RV <u>S.P.</u> Lee cruises have been sorted and sent to appropriate specialists (Table 3). Such collections can provide enough information to positively identify to species some taxa photographed.

Photography of rocky, topographically complex environments is one of the few methods available for quantitatively studying its benthic fauna, but is difficult to use:

(1) Animals must be large and live at the substrate surface (epifauna) to be photographed.

(2) Unless distance from the subject is known, valid quantitative abundance estimates cannot be made.

(3) Photographs must be clear, close, and well-lit for identification.

(4) Unless standardized photo transects with equal coverage at constant speed are made across different substrate or environments, adequate statistical comparisons of the communities cannot be made. Because maximal faunal concentrations at vents or other areas of interest are often photographed from submersibles, these data are often biased.

(5) Identification of taxa from photographs cannot be made to species unless the fauna are well-known by the investigator, a taxon is very distinctive, or study specimens are in hand.

(6) Biological studies of the fauna beyond identifications and behavior cannot be made without actual specimens.

#### B. Data analysis

1

For preliminary analyses, each photograph was treated either as a similarly sized quadrat (1984 DSRV <u>Alvin</u> photographs) or roughly classified in broad altitude zones (1985 <u>S.P.</u> Lee photographs). Per-photograph counts of organisms were used to estimate faunal abundance and for statistical treatment. By necessity, DSRV <u>Alvin</u> photographs at different altitudes were lumped to provide more data for analysis. Lower taxa were combined into 10 higher taxonomic categories to provide sufficient sample sizes for statistical treatment (anthozoans, asteroids, crinoids, gorgonians, holothurians, ophiuroids, pennatulids, poriferans, tunicates, and echinoids). Data were grouped into 7 categories depending on location (dive number) and substrate type: soft substrate (present in photographs from dives 1404 and 1405), mixed substrates (present on dives 1404, 1405, 1406, and 1406), and hard substrate (present on dive 1407).

We used variance-to-mean ratios of per-photograph counts to assess spatial dispersion of the ten taxa. Taylor et al. (1978) reviewed field data on a wide range of organisms and found that per-quadrat abandances best fit by a model of the form:

$$S_{2}^{2} = a\overline{X}b$$
 (1)

where  $\overline{X}$  is the mean abundance, and S is the variance. Jumars and Eckman (1983) pointed out that this model could be more usefully expressed as:

 $\log(S/\bar{X}) = \log a + (b-1)\log \bar{X}$  (2)

Dredge No. Pos		Posit	ion <u>Comments</u>		Comments	
		Lat	itude	Longi	tude	
<u>D02</u>		42	45.1'N	126	42.85'W	poriferan, ophiuroid
<u>D04</u>		42	45.30'N	126	42.13'W	poriferan, holothuroid (2+)
<u>D07</u>	start end	<b>42</b> 42	45.54'N 46.34'N	126 126	42.20'W 41.58'W	asteroid
<u>D13</u>		42	45.75'N	126	44.78'W	alcyonarian, poriferan
<u>D22</u>	start end	43 43	12.93'N 14.48'N	126 126	01.78'W 59.53'W	poriferan
<u>D23</u>	start end	43 43	12.54'N 13.94'N	127 127	06.18'W 05.97'W	polychaetes (3)
<u>D29</u>	start end	42 42	50.35'N 52.00'N	128 128	12.21'W 12.60'W	poriferan
<u>D32</u>	start end	42 42	44.32'N 45.14'N	128 128	05.69'W 05.44'W	poriferan
<u>D33</u>		42	44.04'N	128	06.04'W	poriferan, crinoid
<u>D38</u>	start end	42 42	47.16'N 45.93'N	126 126	43.24'W 43.42'W	pennatulid
<u>D42</u>	start end	42 42	47.92'N 47.47'N	126 126	42.09'W 42.68'W	poriferan, pennatulid, asteroid
<u>D45</u>	start end	42 42	42.29'N 42.47'N	126 126	45.42'W 46.33'W	cirripede

Table 3. Benthic epifauna collected by rock dredge on Leg #2 of the R/V SP Lee cruise to the northern Gorda Ridge, August 1985 on Cruise #L5-85-NC.

۳

-

Under the Poisson distribution,  $S = \overline{X}$ , and equation (2) reduces to:

$$0 = \log a + (b-1)\log \overline{X}$$
 (3)

which requires that a=b=1 under the null hypothesis of random spatial dispersion of counts among quadrats. If the per-quadrat counts are not Poisson-distributed,  $S^2/\bar{X}$  will correlated with  $\bar{X}$  when these parameters are graphed in a scatterplot.

Raw data were analyzed in two ways. First, all photographs from one of the seven habitat categories were used in analyzing each taxon, including those photos where the taxon of interest was absent (referred to as "O's included"). Second, only the photos containing at least one individual of the taxon of interest were selected from one of the seven habitats. This smaller subset of the data was analyzed statistically only if there were five or more photos containing taxa. In both cases, logarithms of the mean and variance/mean were computed for each taxon from each of the seven habitat categories.

Log (variance/mean) = 0 indicated random dispersion,  $\log$  (variance/mean) > 0 indicates aggregated dispersion, and  $\log$  (variance/mean) < 0 indicates an even dispersion pattern. Departures from randomness were tested by computing the chi-square statistic (Pielou, 1977); an alpha level of 0.05 was chosen.

#### RESULTS

A. Invertebrate epifauna

#### Down-axis trends - 1984 DSRV Alvin dives

a. Taxonomic composition.

Axial valley fauna is diverse and includes both attached organisms and motile epibenthic species (Table 4). The fauna is dominated by sponges, echinoderms (starfish, brittle starfish, sea cucumbers, and sea lilies) and ascidians (sea squirts) (Figures 2 and 3).

At South Gorda #1 (Dive #1404 - soft sediment), ophiuroids dominate. The brittle stars are generally detrital and deposit feeders. Further north (dive site #1405 - more hard substrate), ascidians are dominant. At Narrowgate (Dive Site #1406 - rocky substrate, lttle sediment), ascidians and crinoids are most numerous. At North Gorda Ridge (Dive #1407 - no soft substrate) attached poriferans and crinoids are dominant. They are good examples of filter feeding forms that are associated with rocky environments. Ascidians appear to be the group most characteristic of the hard substrate.

## b. Abundance.

Axial valley fauna appears to be more abundant along the southern ridge (Dive 1405) in the region of the "split volcanoes" and on the hard substrate, where distributions are very patchy (Table 5). Numbers must be considered relative (see above, page 13.).





Т



Figure 3. Percent taxonomic composition of benthic mega-epifauna at 1984 <u>ALVIN</u> dive station #1405 in the southern axial valley, Gorda Ridge.

Subs	trate:	<u>Soft</u>	<u>Hard Mi</u>	<u>ixed</u>	Total
(1)	Southern Gorda Ridge (Dive 1404)				
	Number of frames analyzed	(16)	(1)	(8)	(25)
	Mean number animals (x/frame) Range (x/frame)	8.4 1-19	11.0 _	6.9 _	9.3 2-21
(2)	Southern Gorda Ridge (Dive 1405)				
	Number of frames analyzed	(245)	(10)	(26)	(286)
	Mean number animals (x/frame) Range (x/frame)	6.5 0-25		22.4 5-72	
3)	Narrowgate (Dive 1406)				
	Number of frames analyzed	(0)	(30)	(45)	(75)
	Mean number animals (x/frame) Range (x/frame)	-	10.9 2-19		<b>9.</b> 5 2-20
4)	North Gorda Ridge (Dive 1407)				
	Number of frames analyzed	(0)	(63)	(23)	(87)
	Mean number animals (x/frame) Range (x/frame)	-	8.1 1-22		

Table 5. Abundance of visible epifauna on the Gorda Ridge; results of analyses of 1984 ALVIN photographs.

\_

#### c. Feeding types

The majority of the photographed Gorda Ridge epifauna are deposit-detritus feeders. This is particularly apparent on rocky substrates which support large numbers of ascidians (sea squirts), sponges, sea fans, and crinoids. On the substrates stalked hexactinellid sponges are abundant suspension feeders, while animals such as sea cucumbers (Holothuroidea) and brittle stars (Ophiuroidea) eat detritus in surface sediments. Ophiuroids seen in the photographs generally have buried discs and have oriented their arms on the surface of the sediment.

#### d. Distribution of mega-epifauna.

Sixty small-scale distribution patterns were identified. In 31, animals aggregated on the spatial scale of the <u>Alvin</u> photographs (Table 6). The remaining patterns fit a Poisson distribution. Dividing the fauna by trophic group, suspension feeders (anthozoans, crinoids, gorgonians pennatulids, poriferans, and tunicates) were aggregated in 56.8%; the remaining 43.2% were random. Omnivores/deposit feeders (asteroids, holothurians, ophiuroids, and echinoids) were aggregated 43.5% of the time; the remaining 56.5% were random. The higher incidence of aggregations in suspension feeders is not surprising given the assumption that these taxa select microhabitats in environments with complex substrate morphology.

The epifaunal dispersion patterns when 0's were excluded demonstrate a shift away from aggregated towards even dispersion (Figures 4 - 10; Table 7). Only 13.6% of the patterns analyzed were aggregated, compared to 43.2% random and 43.2% even (Table 8). Of the combinations of taxa and habitat categories common between the 0's included and 0's excluded data sets, 83.3% of the dispersion patterns moved toward more even distributions when photos with no organisms were excluded. If these latter are photos of unsuitable habitats, this suggests that megafauna in more favorable habitats are more evenly dispersed, perhaps because of biological interactions within or between species. This hypothesis could be tested if better data on the distances within and between photographs were available.

The statistics presented here are elementary because the data do not permit more sophisticated approaches, such as nearest neighbor analysis or spatial autocorrelation techniques (Jumars and Eckman, 1983). More powerful techniques require length-scale data that are not available for DSRV <u>Alvin</u> Gorda Ridge photographs. Such data are an obvious priority for future photographic surveys of this region.

In summary, the majority of the distribution patterns identified here do not fit a Poisson distribution. Such randomness appears to be the norm in natural populations of organisms --

> "In contrast to dispersion of the physical world, the dispersive processes of living organisms involve intrinsic behavioural responses that should make spatial randomness highly improbable". (Taylor et al., 1978)

## 2. Cross-axis, 1985 Geological Survey towed vehicle

Percent abundance clearly demonstrate the numerical dominance of ophiuroids

Taxon	Soft substrate 1404/1405	Mixed substrates 1404/1405/1406/1407	Hard substrate 1407
Anthozoan	s A/A	R/R/R/	А
Asteroids	R/R	R/A/R/R	R
Crinoids	A/A	R/A/A/A	А
Gorgonian	s /	R/R/A/R	А
Holothuri	ans R/A	R/A/R/R	R
Ophiuroid	s A/A	A/A/A/R	А
Pennatuli	ds R/A	R/A/A/A	
Sponges	R/R	R/R/R/A	R
Tunicates	R/A	A/A/A/	A
Urchins	A/R		

Table 6. Dispersion patterns of taxa when 0's are included in the data analysis. Numbers below substrate type refer to dive number. A = aggregated, R = random, E = even. Blank space indicates insufficient sample size.

١

ł

ŧ

-

Table 7. As in Table 6, but 0's excluded.

P

Taxon	Soft substrate 1404/1405	Mixed substrates 1404/1405/1406/1407	Hard substrate 1407
Anthozoans	R/R	/ /E/	R
Asteroids	/E	/R/E/	E
Crinoids	/E	E/A/E/R	R
Gorgonians		/ /E/	R
Holothuria	ns /R	/R/E/	E
Ophiuroids	R/A	/R/R/E	Α
Pennatulid	s /E	E/R/E/R	
Sponges	R/E	/E/E/R	E
Tunicates	/ R	A/A/A/	R
Urchins	R/E		



Logarithm of the variance/mean ratio versus log of the mean for the per-quadrat counts of megafauna from the Gorda Ridge. Dashed line represents expectation for random dispersion. Letters refer to taxon (i.e., AN = anthozoans, AS = asteroids, C = crinoids, G = gorgonians, H = holothurians, O = ophiuroids, P = pennatulids, S = sponges, T = tunicates, U = urchins). Numbers following letters refer to dive number (i.e., 4 = dive 1404, 5 = dive 1405, etc.). Circled data points exceed Poisson expectation at the 95% confidence level.

Т



Logarithm of the variance/mean ratio versus log of the mean for the per-quadrat counts of megafauna from the Gorda Ridge. Dashed line represents expectation for random dispersion. Letters refer to taxon (i.e., AN = anthozoans, AS = asteroids, C = crinoids, G = gorgonians, H = holothurians, O = ophiuroids, P = pennatulids, S = sponges, T = tunicates, U = urchins). Numbers following letters refer to dive number (i.e., 4 = dive 1404, 5 = dive 1405, etc.). Circled data points exceed Poisson expectation at the 95% confidence level.



Logarithm of the variance/mean ratio versus log of the mean for the per-quadrat counts of megafauna from the Gorda Ridge. Dashed line represents expectation for random dispersion. Letters refer to taxon (i.e., AN = anthozoans, AS = asteroids, C = crinoids, G = gorgonians, H = holothurians, O = ophiuroids, P = pennatulids, S = sponges, T = tunicates, U = urchins). Numbers following letters refer to dive number (i.e., 4 = dive 1404, 5 = dive 1405, etc.). Circled data points exceed Poisson expectation at the 95% confidence level.

١

23

Figure 7.



Logarithm of the variance/mean ratio versus log of the mean for the per-quadrat counts of megafauna from the Gorda Ridge. Dashed line represents expectation for random dispersion. Letters refer to taxon (i.e., AN = anthozoans, AS = asteroids, C = crinoids, G = gorgonians, H = holothurians, O = ophiuroids, P = pennatulids, S = sponges, T = tunicates, U = urchins). Numbers following letters refer to dive number (i.e., 4 = dive 1404, 5 = dive 1405, etc.). Circled data points exceed Poisson expectation at the 95% confidence level.



Logarithm of the variance/mean ratio versus log of the mean for the per-quadrat counts of megafauna from the Gorda Ridge. Dashed line represents expectation for random dispersion. Letters refer to taxon (i.e., AN = anthozoans, AS = asteroids, C = crinoids, G = gorgonians, H = holothurians, O = ophiuroids, P = pennatulids, S = sponges, T = tunicates, U = urchins). Numbers following letters refer to dive number (i.e., 4 = dive 1404, 5 = dive 1405, etc.). Circled data points exceed Poisson expectation at the 95% confidence level.

Figure 9.



Logarithm of the variance/mean ratio versus log of the mean for the per-quadrat counts of megafauna from the Gorda Ridge. Dashed line represents expectation for random dispersion. Letters refer to taxon (i.e., AN = anthozoans, AS = asteroids, C = crinoids, G = gorgonians, H = holothurians, O = ophiuroids, P = pennatulids, S = sponges, T = tunicates, U = urchins). Numbers following letters refer to dive number (i.e., 4 = dive 1404, 5 = dive 1405, etc.). Circled data points exceed Poisson expectation at the 95% confidence level.

Table 8. Changes in dispersion patterns occurring when taxa are analyzed with 0's excluded. + = changes from aggregated to random from random to even, or from aggregated to even; 0 = no changes in dispersion pattern; Blank space indicates insufficient sample size.

Taxon	Soft substrate 1404/1405	Mixed substrates 1404/1405/1406/1407	Hard substrate 1407
Anthozoans	+/+	/ /+/	+
Asteroids	/+	/+/+/	+
Crinoids	/+	+/0/+/+	+
Gorgonians		/ /+/	+
Holothuria	ns /+	/+/+/	+
Ophiuroids	+/0	/+/+/+	0
Pennatulid	s /+	+/+/+/+	
Sponges	0/+	/+/+/+	+
Tunicates	/+	0/0/0/	+
Urchins	+/+		

-

1

\_

associated with soft sediments (94 - 97%). Of the two species present, <u>Ophiocten</u> haslatum is more abundant than the larger <u>Ophiomusium multispinum</u> (Table 9). Three species of holothuroids (<u>Paelopatides</u> sp, <u>Abyssocucumis</u> <u>abyssorum</u>, and <u>Synnalactides</u> sp) are also characteristic of the soft sediments.

Depth and degree of sediment cover do not seem to affect the taxonomic composition of the fauna at the higher level (class or above) of the eastern wall off-axis of the northern Gorda Ridge valley (Table 9). Ophiuroids are clearly dominant (by numerical density) when some sediment is present, with holothuroids generally a minor component. Asteroids occur at 3 of the 5 stations studied. Poriferans and fish were occasionally found at one or two stations. Abundances of all taxa are influenced by substrate; however, we have often observed <u>Paelopatides</u>, normally a sediment inhabitant, on rock surfaces in the Gorda Ridge axial valley.

Some echinoderm species, in contrast, appear to be be restricted to substrate type and depth (Figure 10). The sea cucumber, <u>Abyssocucumus</u> <u>abyssorum</u> (?) was observed only on sediments at about 2,550 m depth, and the asteroid, <u>Hymenaster</u> sp was found only at 3,050 m depth in a mixed substrate environment. The holothuroid, <u>Paelopatides</u> sp, is more broadly distributed from about 2,400 m to 2,600 m. The Brissingid asteroid, <u>Freyella</u> sp is found at 3 of the 5 stations. It is a filter feeder and is often observed with curved arms extended in the water layer above the sea floor; it may be indicative of currents and turbid, food-rich environments.

## B. Fish fauna

Fishes were relatively abundant on the videotape records from nine stations in the Gorda Ridge lease area. A total of 196 fishes (or presumed fishes, if not clearly recorded) were seen. At least five fifferent taxa were seen (in order of abundance): <u>Coryphaenoides</u> <u>armatus</u> and other Macrouridae (64 definite, 28 questionable), <u>Spectrunculus</u> <u>grandis</u> (Ophidiidae) (18,11), <u>Antimora rostrata</u> (Moridae) (9,9), Zoarcidae (7,7), and Liparididae (3,1). These results differ from those obtained from the USGS still photographs, in which only 11 fish were seen. Twelve still photos from the DSRV <u>Alvin</u> dives showed two taxa only (<u>C. armatus</u> and <u>S.</u> <u>grandis</u>). This contrast in results suggests that continuous video records are more useful for ichthyofaunal surveys than are still photographs, even though a difference in area covered by the two techniques may explain the differing results. However, a focused still photograph is more useful for identification of the fish concerned than is a video record.

There appeared to be distinct differences in frequency of occurrence of taxa on different substrates. Macrourids were about evenly distributed on soft and rocky bottoms; S. grandis and zoarcids were most abundant on rocky bottom; and A. rostrata on soft bottom (Table 10).

The relative abundances of these taxa were compared to those from trawl catch data from soft bottoms at similar depths on Cascadia Abyssal Plain off Oregon (Unpub. data, W.G. Pearcy and D.L. Stein, OSU). The differences were striking (Table 11). In addition, the percentage of all fishes seen represented by each taxon differed; the proportion of macrourids in the trawls over soft bottoms was about twice that seen in the videotapes over rock and mixed sedimentary and rocky substrates. Representation in trawls of <u>Antimora rostrata</u>, <u>Spectruculus grandis</u>, and zoarcids was much less than in the video records. Limiting the comparison only to fishes seen on soft bottom yielded similar results (Table 11).

Table 9.	Percent composition of visible mega-epifauna a	
1985 Gorda Ri	dge off-axis stations.	t the

			st.m) orifera	Actiniaria	Asteroidea	Ophiuroidea	Holothuroidea	Pisces	N =
16C	mixed	2400	-	_	0.4	96.5	3.1	_	258
15C	sediment	2550	-	-	-	93.9	2.0	2.1	48
01C	sediment	2600	<b>-</b> 1	-	0.5	97.8	1.6	§0.1	800
05C	sediment	2600	0.5	0.5	-	97.3	1.6	-	187
09C	mixed	3000	-	-	-	-	_	-	_
12C	mixed	3050	-	-	5.6	94.4	-	_	_



Figure 10. The mean number of mega-epifauna per 100 photographic frames. The estimated altitude was "close" for all frames included. Clear portions of photographs were counted, and the counts were normalized to the 35 grid-cell frame.

Table 10. Numbers of each taxon identified occurring at each video station. Depths in meters. Stn: station; Mac: macrourid; Sp: <u>Spectrunculus grandis</u> (Ophidiidae); Ant: <u>Antimora rostrata</u> (Moridae); Zo: zoarcidae; Lip: liparididae; Un: unidentified. Question marks signify uncertain identification.

Stn.	Depth	Mac	<u>Mac?</u>	<u>Sp</u>	<u>Sp?</u>	Ant	<u>Ant?</u>	<u>Zo</u>	<u>Zo?</u>	Lip	<u>Lip?</u>	<u>Un</u>
	0550			-								
15c	2550	10	2	1		4	6		1		1	6
lc	2600	11	8	3		1			2			2
5c	2600	9	3		3	4	1	2	1			3
9c	3000	2	7		2		1					6
12c	3050	12	2	1	1			1		2		4
41c	3050	2	1									3
37c	3100	2	2	3	2			2	1	1		3
46c	3200	5		5	2			1	2			8
33	3200	11	3	5	1		1	1				4
												÷
Total	196	64	28	18	11	9	9	7	7	3	1	39

Table 11. Comparisons between percentages of different fish taxa in video records (all bottoms, soft bottom only) and trawl data (soft bottom only).

	soft be video f		<u>all</u> <u>bottoms</u> video trawl
macrourids	47	83	49 83
Antimora rostrata	9	4	14 4
Spectrunculus grandis	15	0.9	7 0.9
zoarcids	7	1	3 1

-

These photographic results are difficult to interpret because we have so little data on fish abundances in areas of rocky substrate and lack comparative video records from trawl stations. Three possible explanations for the observed differences are: (1) that trawl catch data on abundances of deep water fishes are severely affected by avoidance, contrary to previous thought (Pearcy, Stein, and Carney, 1982), (2) that video camera results are similarly affected, or (3) that the abundances of fishes may be different in the Gorda Ridge region than on Cascadia Plain. It is presently not possible to determine which explanation is correct. The second explanation seems unlikely because most of the fishes seen were apparently completely undisturbed by the presence of the camera and its lights. Thus, there is little reason to expect other members of the same taxa to react differently. However, some taxa caught by trawls and not photographed may have avoided the lights and pinger of the camera system. Cascadia Abyssal Plain is continuous with the eastern edge of Gorda Ridge, and at similar depths over similar bottom types it seems reasonable to expect a similar fish fauna. However, (not surprisingly) the video tape data supports the hypothesis that the fish community in rocky areas is structured differently than that of soft bottom. Therefore, the presence of rocky areas nearby may be sufficient to affect the proportions of taxa in the soft bottom fish community close to the Gorda Ridge.

#### DISCUSSION

The Gorda Ridge rift valley animals appear to be primarily filter feeders and detritus feeders. Observations from Juan de Fuca Ridge further to the northwest suggest that the undisturbed fauna is significantly less abundant than that on Gorda Ridge (G. Taghon, S. Clague, personal communication). In nonvent areas, the central axis valley may act as a collector for particulate organic material. Topographic features, such as Narrowgate, may concentrate currents and suspended materials. If active hydrothermal vents are present in the central valley, they may distribute particles widely in the valley. In addition, the northern Gorda Ridge underlies the Columbia River Plume during its southwest estension under the influence of the southerly summer wind stress. Large amounts of terrestrial and neritic organic materials could be transported to the near continent ridge by these means.

The soft sediment and rocky epifaunal communities generally differ in species composition; however, some of the stalked suspension-feeders are also present on the sediments and some surface deposit feeders occur on the rocky surfaces. Because in 1985 few good photos were taken at off-axis rocky substrate stations, data underemphasize the importance of hard substrate faunal communities. The 1984 <u>ALVIN</u> photographs demonstrate the high abundance of the suspension-feeding species in some areas of the valley (Figure 2; Table 5).

Sediment on the ridge flanks has a meaga-epifaunal community consisting primarily of echinoderms, very similar to that of Cascadia Abyssal Plain. Ophiuroids are numerically dominant, and holothuroids and asteroids are characteristic (Carney and Carey, 1982).

### FUTURE RESEARCH NEEDS

Quantitative data from controlled photographic transects across the suspected active vent areas and undisturbed areas of interest are badly needed. These should be taken close enough to the animals (1 - 2 m) or substrate to permit identification of the smaller mega-epifauna in non-vent areas. Camera systems used should be designed for close-up photography or include 2 cameras with short and long focal length lenses

Direct observation coupled with controlled photographic transects from a submersible would provide more extensive quantitative data from the rocky areas of the ridge. Camera runs over a selected substrate or substrates at constant altitude and known speed are recommended. A vertically oriented survey camera would yield photographs most suited for quantitative estimates of the visible mega-epifauna. The towed photo sled camera system yields adequate data from the soft, level substrates, but increased bottom contact and resulting high turbidity can prevent close and clear bottom photography in rugged, rocky substrates.

Additional controlled camera transects in other latitudes along the Gorda Ridge flanks would provide data to compare the abundance of the visible epifauna in the axis valley with organisms on hard and soft substrates at similar depths. This comparison would help answer the question of the extent of the influence of the valley topography and vent effluents on the ridge epifauna.

Extensive collections of fauna from rock dredge samples and submersible dives are necessary for positive taxonomic identifications and biochemical/energetic studies.

Associated specialized animal communities clearly mark active hydrothermal vents; however, realtime, or slightly delayed feedback from camera deployments, would maximize spotting efficiency. Either TV, with or without deck readout, or processed black and white or color film on board ship would fulfill these requirements.

### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

(1) An extensive visible epibenthic fauna exists in the central axis valley of Gorda Ridge; it appears to be comprised mainly of filter and detritus feeders.

(2) The abundance and taxonomic composition of the mega-epifauna is patchy down the axial valley. Topography and possible hydrothermal vents may provide the food resources necessary for the support of these assemblages.

(3) The mega-epifauna in the axial valley tends toward an even distribution.

(4) Off-axis, the soft bottom fauna consists mainly of deposit/detritus feeding echinoderms; much of the fauna is similar to that found on the surrounding abyssal plains, e.g. Cascadia Plain.

(5) The fish fauna of Gorda Ridge probably is comprised of taxa similar to those occurring on Cascadia Abyssal Plain, but the proportions of those taxa differ from those occurring on the plain. Identification of most of the taxa is probably impossible without collections by trapping or other means.

### CITED REFERENCES

- Carney, R.S. and A.G. Carey, Jr. 1976. Distribution of holothuroids on the northeastern Pacific (Oregon, U.S.A.) continental slope, shelf, abyssal plain. Thallasia Yugoslavica 12: 67-74.
- Carney, R.S. and A.G. Carey, Jr. 1982. Distribution and diversity of holothuroids on Cascadia Basin and Tufts Abyssal Plain. Deep-Sea Res. 29:597-607.
- Chezar, H. and J. Lee 1985. A new look at deep-sea video. Deep-Sea Res. 32: 1429-1436.
- Farre, J.A., W.R. Ryan, W. Koch, and A.M. Brosius 1983. Applying thyristor technology to deep sea photography. Functional Photography. 18: 18-18, 21, 41.
- Grassle, J.F., H.L. Sanders, R.R. Hessler, G.T. Rowe, and T. McLellan. 1975. Pattern and zonation: a study of the bathyal megafauna using the research submersible Alvin. Deep-Sea Res. 22: 457-481.
- Jumars, P.A. and J.E. Eckman. 1983. Spatial structure within deep-sea benthic communities, pp. 399-451. In: C.T. Rowe (ed.), The Sea, vol. 8. Wiley-Interscience, New York.
- McMurray, G. 1985. The Gorda Ridge technical task force: a cooperative federalstate approach to offshore mining issues. Underwater Mining Institute
- Pielou, E.C. 1977. Mathematical ecology. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Pearcy, W.G., D.L. Stein, and R.S. Carney. 1982. The deep-sea benthic fish fauna of the northeastern Pacific Ocean on Cascadia and Tufts Abyssal Plains and adjoining continental slopes. Biological Oceanography. 1:375-428.
- Smith. C.R.and S.C. Hamilton. 1983. Epibenthic megafauna of a bathyal basin off southern California: patterns of abundance, biomass, and dispersion. Deep-Sea Res. 30: 907-928.
- Taylor, L.R., I.P. Woiwod, and J.N. Perry. 1978. The density-dependence of spatial behaviour and the rarity of randomness. J. Anim. Ecol. 47: 383-406.