



# Local Source (Cascadia Subduction Zone) Tsunami Inundation Map Langlois, Oregon

2012

## Introduction

The Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI) has been identifying and mapping the tsunami inundation hazard along the Oregon coast since 1994. In Oregon, DOGAMI manages the National Tsunami Hazard Mitigation Program, which has been administered by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) since 1995. DOGAMI's work is designed to help cities, counties, and other sites in coastal areas reduce the potential for disastrous tsunami-related consequences by understanding and mitigating the geologic hazard using the best available science. DOGAMI has developed a new generation of tsunami inundation maps to help residents and visitors along the entire Oregon coast prepare for the next Cascadia Subduction Zone (CSZ) earthquake and tsunami.

The CSZ is the tectonic plate boundary between the North American Plate and the Juan de Fuca Plate (Figure 1). These plates are converging at a rate of about 1.5 inches per year, but the movement is not smooth and continuous. Rather, the plates lock together and undeformed energy builds over time. At intervals, this accumulated energy is violently released in the form of a megathrust earthquake rupture, where the North American Plate suddenly slips westward over the Juan de Fuca Plate. This rupture causes a vertical displacement of water that creates a tsunami (Figure 2). Similar rupture processes and tsunamis have occurred elsewhere on the planet where subduction zones exist, for example offshore Chile in 1960 and 2010, offshore Alaska in 1964, near Sumatra in 2004, and offshore Japan in March 2011.

**CSZ Frequency:** Comprehensive research of the offshore geologic record indicates that at least 19 major ruptures of the full length of the CSZ have occurred off the Oregon coast over the past 10,000 years (Figure 3). All 19 of these full-length CSZ events were likely magnitude 8.9 to 9.2 earthquakes (Witter and others, 2011). The most recent CSZ event happened approximately 300 years ago on January 26, 1700. Sand deposits carried onshore and left by the 1700 event have been found 12 miles inland, and tsunami sand deposits have also been discovered in estuaries 6 miles inland. As shown in Figure 3, the range in time between these 19 events varies from 110 to 1,150 years, with a median time interval of 490 years. In 2008 the United States Geological Survey (USGS) released the results of a study announcing that the probability of a magnitude 8.9 CSZ earthquake occurring over the next 30 years is 10% and that such earthquakes occur about every 500 years (WCEP, 2008).

**CSZ Magnitude:** The sizes of the earthquake and its resultant tsunami are primarily driven by the amount and geometry of the slip that takes place when the North American Plate slips westward over the Juan de Fuca Plate. In 2008, DOGAMI had modeling a wide range of earthquake and tsunami sizes that take into account different fault geometries that could amplify the amount of seawater displacement and increase tsunami inundation. Seismic, geological profiles show that there may be a steep slip fault running nearly parallel to the CSZ but closer to the Oregon coastline (Figure 1). The effect of this slip fault moving during a full-rupture CSZ event would be an increase in the amount of vertical displacement of the Pacific Ocean, resulting in an increase of the tsunami inundation onshore in

Oregon. DOGAMI has also incorporated physical evidence that suggests that portions of the coast may drop 4 to 10 feet during the earthquake; this effect is known as subsidence. Detailed information on fault geometries, subsidence, computer models, and the methodology used to create the tsunami scenarios presented on this map can be found in DOGAMI Special Papers 41 (Prest and others, 2009) and 43 (Witter and others, 2011).

## Map Explanation

This tsunami inundation map displays the output of computer models representing five selected tsunami scenarios, all of which include the earthquake-proposed subsidence and the tsunami-amplifying effects of the slip fault. Each scenario assumes that a tsunami occurs at Mean Higher High Water (MHHW) tide. MHHW is defined as the average height of the higher high tides observed over an 18-year period at the Port Orford tide gauge. To make it easier to understand this scientific material and to enhance the educational aspects of hazard mitigation and response, the five scenarios are labeled as "T-shirt sizes" ranging from Small, Medium, Large, Extra Large, to Extra Extra Large (S, M, L, XL, XXL). The map legend depicts the respective amounts of slip, the frequency of occurrence, and the earthquake magnitudes for these five scenarios. Figure 4 shows the cumulative number of buildings inundated within the map area.

The computer simulation model output is provided to DOGAMI as millions of points with values that indicate whether the location of each point is wet or dry. These points are converted to wet and dry contour lines that form the extent of inundation. The transition area between the wet and dry contour lines is termed the Wet/Dry Zone, which equals to the amount of error in the model when determining the maximum inundation for each scenario. Only the XXL Wet/Dry Zone is shown on this map.

This map also shows the regulatory tsunami inundation line (Oregon Revised Statutes 455.446 and 455.447), commonly known as the Senate Bill 379 line. Senate Bill 379 (1995) instructed DOGAMI to establish the area of expected tsunami inundation based on scientific evidence and tsunami modeling in order to prohibit the construction of new essential and special occupancy structures in this tsunami inundation zone (Prest, 1995).

**Time Series Graphs and Wave Elevation Profiles:** In addition to the tsunami scenarios, the computer model produces time series data for "gauge" locations in the area. These points are simulated gauge stations that record the time and location of the tsunami wave arrival and wave height observed. It is especially noteworthy that the greatest wave height and velocity observed are not necessarily associated with the first tsunami wave to arrive onshore. Therefore, evacuees should not assume that the tsunami event is over until the proper authorities have sounded the all-clear signal at the end of the evacuation. Figure 5 depicts the tsunami waves as they arrive at a simulated gauge station. Figure 6 depicts the overall wave height and inundation extent for all five scenarios at the profile locations shown on this map.







Figure 1. This block diagram depicts the tectonic setting of the region. See Figure 2 for the sequence of events that occur during a Cascadia Subduction Zone megathrust earthquake and tsunami.



## Occurrence and Relative Size of Cascadia Subduction Zone Megathrust Earthquakes



## Buildings within Tsunami Inundation Zones

Entire Map Area		Unincorporated Areas	
Total Buildings	548	Total Buildings	548
Buildings within Tsunami Zones*		Buildings within Tsunami Zones*	
Small	1	Small	1
Medium	13	Medium	13
Large	77	Large	77
Extra Large	226	Extra Large	226
Extra Extra Large	288	Extra Extra Large	288

Entire Map Area		Unincorporated Areas	
Percent of Buildings within Tsunami Zones		Percent of Buildings within Tsunami Zones	
Small	0.2%	Small	0.2%
Medium	2.4%	Medium	2.4%
Large	14.2%	Large	14.2%
Extra Large	41.2%	Extra Large	41.2%
Extra Extra Large	52.6%	Extra Extra Large	52.6%

\*Building counts shown are based on polygon centroids and are cumulative within the map area.




Figure 4. The table and chart show the number of buildings inundated for each "T-shirt size" scenario for cities and unincorporated portions of the map.

## Estimated Tsunami Wave Height through Time for Simulated Gauge Station




Figure 5. This chart depicts the tsunami waves as they arrive at the selected reference point (simulated gauge station). It shows the change in wave heights for all five tsunami scenarios over an 18-hour period. The starting water elevation (0.0 hour) takes into account the local land subsidence or uplift caused by the earthquake. Wave heights vary through time, and the first wave will not necessarily be the largest as waves interfere and reflect off local topography and bathymetry.

## Maximum Wave Elevation Profiles






Figure 6. These profiles depict the expected maximum tsunami wave elevation for the five "T-shirt size" scenarios along lines A-A' and B-B'. The tsunami scenarios are modeled to occur at high tide and to account for local subsidence or uplift of the ground surface.

## Tsunami Inundation Map Index



## Legend



## Data References

**Source Data:** This map is based on hydrodynamic tsunami modeling by Portland, Oregon Health and Science University Earthquake Hazard Forecast, Version 2 (CEHP-2), US Geological Survey Open-File Report 2008-147 and California Geological Survey Special Report 203 (http://cdm.dep.gov/CR2007147/).

**Hydrodynamic modeling, critical features, and building footprints:** were provided by DOGAMI. Senate Bill 379 line data were provided by Rachel R. Lyles Smith and Ian P. Madin, DOGAMI. In 2011 (GIS file set, in press, 2012).

**Urban growth boundaries (2010):** were provided by the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD).

**Transportation data (2010):** provided by Curry County were edited by DOGAMI to improve the spatial accuracy of the feature or to add newly constructed roads not present in the original data layer.

**Lidar data:** were from DOGAMI Lidar Data Quadrangles L10\_2009-0214-04-Langlois and L10\_2009-0214-05-Flores Lake.

**Coordinate System:** Oregon Statewide Lambert Conformal Conic, Unit: International Foot, Horizontal Datum: NAD 1983 HARN, Vertical Datum: NAVD 1988.

**Software:** Esri ArcGIS 10.0, Microsoft® Excel®, and Adobe® Illustrator®.

**Funding:** This map was funded under award #ANDW5470014 by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) through the National Tsunami Hazard Mitigation Program.

**Map Data Creation/Development:** Curry County, Oregon: George R. Prest, Laura L. Shively, Daniel L. Cox, Paul A. Farris, Scott R. Pickner, Robert H. Lyles Smith.

**Map Production:** Curry County: Katherine B. Hughes, Scott C. Pickner, Taylor E. Winters, Warren R. Lyles Smith, Gary D. W. Lewis, Rachel R. Lyles Smith, Alison R. DeBorja, Ian P. Madin, Robert H. Lyles Smith, Alison R. DeBorja, Ian P. Madin.

**Map Data:** Curry County: George R. Prest, Laura L. Shively, Daniel L. Cox, Paul A. Farris, Scott R. Pickner, Robert H. Lyles Smith.

**Map Production:** Curry County: Katherine B. Hughes, Scott C. Pickner, Taylor E. Winters, Warren R. Lyles Smith, Gary D. W. Lewis, Rachel R. Lyles Smith, Alison R. DeBorja, Ian P. Madin, Robert H. Lyles Smith, Alison R. DeBorja, Ian P. Madin.